

Dear reviewer,

I ask you to determine the quality of submitted paper and the possibility of its publication in our journal by its evaluation according to 11 criteria (from 1 to 4 points for each of the criteria).

The overall result will simplify the final decision, **but will not determine it!**

The final decision is made by you personally as a respected expert in this field and the author of numerous publications.

To simplify the calculation of points, you can use the review option in excel format (download from our web).

All your additional comments or suggestions you can put in message in OJS. This is absolutely confidential and non visible for any author or reader.

Please send your review before the date indicated in the message!

Thank you for your cooperation!

We are always happy to answer any questions and solve any problems related to peer-review or work of our OJS.

	Criteria		Score
1	<b>Text originality</b>		
		less than 80%	REJECTED
		80%-85%	1
		86%-90%	2
		91%-95%	3
		more than 96%	4
2	<b>Paper is a match with a scope of journal</b>		
		not	REJECTED
		yes	2
3	<b>Problem of the research</b>		
		non relevant	1
		is deeply investigated	2
		relevant	3
		is a new direction for research	4
4	<b>Structure of research</b>		
		is not clear and not logical	1
		is not clear	2
		is clear but not logical	3
		clear and logical	4
5	<b>Used research methodology</b>		
		is not clear defined	1
		is not proper to the research tasks and objectives	2
		is proper and widely used in social sciences	3
		has elements of originality and novelty	4

6	<b>Technical adequacy</b>		
	poor	1	
	low	2	
	fair	3	
	high	4	
7	<b>Theoretical significance</b>		
	not relevant to the theoretical field	1	
	has some theoretical findings explaining the already existing approaches	2	
	has theoretical findings adding to the existing theories and approaches	3	
	opens new directions for further theoretical studies	4	
8	<b>Practical importance</b>		
	minor	1	
	findings are predictable, obvious	2	
	findings are important for practice	3	
	used methodology is important for practice	4	
9	<b>Novelty and originality of the research</b>		
	not obvious	1	
	Is revising the already known	2	
	limited (though can be applied to certain areas or industries)	3	
	undoubted	4	
10	<b>Validity of findings and conclusions</b>		
	falsely proved	1	
	not proved	2	
	partially proved	3	
	strongly proved	4	
11	<b>Written language</b>		
	non readable	1	
	readable, simple sentences	2	
	readable	3	
	readable, using terminology	4	
			<b>Results</b>
	score > 35	Accepted	
	score > 25	Accepted with minor corrections without second round of the review	
	score > 15	Second round of the review is required	
	score < 15	Rejected	